

Research Study Review Rubric

The rubric below is based on <u>Evidence for ESSA Standards and Procedures</u> to identify research study evidence of program and practice effectiveness, meeting ESSA levels of evidence standards. Locate relevant research studies for review and hyperlink them to the rubric's Research Study headings.

RUBRIC: Studies on Program	Research Study	Research Study	Research Study
	1	2	3
STUDY CHARACTERISTICS: Well-designed, well-implemented	Record <u>Yes</u> for e	ach acceptable stud	dy characteristic.
Research Recency: 1990 to present [Technology-based programs: 2000 to present]			
Experimental Studies:			
• <u>RCT</u> or <u>QE</u>			
Correlational			
Pre-test Data (to establish initial equivalence):			
Study establishes baseline and post-assessment outcome measures and notes differences.			
Dependent Variable(s): Includes quantitative measure of academic achievement. [Test developers were	e not		
involved in the study.]			
Study Duration: ≥ 12 weeks from beginning of the study through post-test.			
Large Sample Size:			
 n ≥ 350 study participants with ≥ 2 teachers 			
• ≥ 2 schools			
Sample Overlap: RCT and QE study sample characteristics overlap by:			
Populations (i.e., types of students served)			
Settings (i.e., rural, urban)			
<u>Attrition</u> : Study participants drop out \leq 15% to sustain similar experimental and control groups.			
Replicable: A program in the study must be replicable.			
STUDY OUTCOMES: Positive Program Effects	Record <u>Yes</u> fo	r each acceptable s	tudy outcome.
Effect Size: Study/evaluation reports the effect size (i.e., Small ES +0.05; Moderate ES +0.06 - +0.20; Larg	ge ES +0.21		
or above)			
<u>Levels of Evidence</u> : Demonstrates Causality or Correlation			
 STRONG: ≥ 1 qualifying RCT study w/ statistically significant positive effects on ≥ 1 major outcom 	ie measure.		
 MODERATE: ≥ 1 qualifying QE study w/ significantly positive effects on student outcomes. 			
 PROMISING: ≥ 1 study w/ significantly positive effects without any statistically significant negative 	<i>ve</i> effects.		
 Correlational study with controls for inputs/bias, or 			
 Per ESSA/WWC standards: RCT or QE studies with design/implementation of lesser quality. 			

Evidence Summary: